## **FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**

PART 1 (To be completed by Federal Agency)	1. Date of Land Evaluation Request iO~23~ひ2				2. Sheet of				
3. Name of Project COL, SUT 20 ROADWAY REHABILITATION	4. Federal Ac		ed						
5. Proposed Land Use はもらればなみ	6. County and State COUSA, CA				7. Type of Project:				
		<del></del>	N	,	Corridor 🗷 Other 🛘				
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	1. Date Request Received by NRCS				2. Person Completing the NRCS parts of this form  ANDREA CASEV				
3. Does the site or corridor contain prime, unique ,statewide or local important farmland? Yes 🖪			No □	4. Acres Irrig					
	(If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form)				**************************************	276,562 532.ac			
6. Major Crop(s)	7. Farmable Land in Government Jurisc			diction		Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA			
Row crop, orchard, rice	Acres: 430, 858 %					Data N/A %			
	10. Name of Loca	stem	11. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS						
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	<u> Marini jepangi na 1905 -                                   </u>	a de la composition			Altor	antivo City	. Dotlar		
				Site A	Alternative Site Rating Site B Site C Site I				
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				16				Oite D	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services			0						
C. Total Acres in Site				16					
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Info	rmation		i Pity				Leave	sylvesia (nest	
A. Total Acres Prime and Unique Farmland				41-8					
B. Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland				9.1		98 8			
C. Percentage of Farmland in County or Local Govt. Unit to b	e Converted		- gad to	• 003	7.5				
D. Percentage of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction with Same or Higher Relative Value				.004	1.24.2				
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value of Farmland to be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)				26					
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFI	or Site	Max. P Corrido			<u> </u>		- 112.04[5][#H15](v		
Area in Nonurban Use		15	15	15	<u> </u>				
Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10	10	10				<del></del>	
Percent of Site Being Farmed		20	20	20	<del> </del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Protection Provided by State and Local Government		20	20	<del>.</del> Ф-	<u> </u>				
5. Distance from Urban Built-up area		0	15						
6. Distance to Urban Support Services		0	15	-		<del>-  </del>			
7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average		10	10	10					
Creation of Non-Farmable Farmland		25	10	_ <del></del>	<del></del>				
Availability of Farm Support Services		5	5	5					
10. On-Farm Investments		20	20	20		_ † _			
11. Effects of Conversion on Farm Support Services		25	10	<del>-</del> -	<u> </u>				
12. Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use		10	10	- <del>-</del>					
TOTAL CORRIDOR OR SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		16	30	80					
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value of Farmland (from Part V above)		10	0	26	<del> </del>		<del></del>		
Total Corridor or Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160		80					
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260		106			<u> </u>		
PART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency after final a	Iternative is cho	sen)				[			
Corridor or Site Selected:		2. Date of Selection:		on:	3. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?				
					Yes □ No □				
4. Reason For Selection:		_1		<u> </u>				<del></del>	
Signature of person completing the Federal Agency parts of this form:					DATE				
Omones Copey					11/18/2002				
Wisconsin substitute form AD-1006 6-9-97 Completion ins	tructions: http://www		-d	حاد مادما مصادما	a latural				

## **FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**

PART 1 (To be completed by Federal Agency)	1. Date of Land Evaluation Req		n Reques	st	2. Sheet	Sheet of					
3. Name of Project	4 Federal Agency Involved										
COL, SUT 20 ROADWAY REMABILITATION	FHVA	5110 <b>y</b> 11110111									
5. Proposed Land Use	6. County and State				7. Type of Project:						
HIGHWAY	SUTTER, CA				Corridor ₩ Other □						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	Date Request Received by NRC			S	2. Person Completing the NRCS parts of this form						
10/23/02				Gail Moffitt							
3. Does the site or corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? Yes					No ☐ 4. Acres Irrigated ★ 5. Average Farm						
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form)					242,1 <i>8</i> 3 265						
6. Major Crop(s) Sutter Co- Crop Report 7. Farmable Land in Government Jurisc				diction	8. Amount of Fa	and the second s	, ,				
Rice, Safflower, Walnuts	Acres: 348, 349 % 91				Acres: Not Available						
그 사람이 살아가게 많아서 그리는 아름이 있어요? 이 사람들이 눈물을 가지 않는데 그리고 있다고 있다. 그 그 그 나를 보는	0. Name of Local	Site Assess	sment Sy	stem	11. Date Land		Returned b	y NRCS			
California-Storie System					10 7/0	၁ <u>၃</u>					
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)					Alternative Site Rating						
				Site A	Site B	Si	te C	Site D			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	1			2	<del> </del>						
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Sen	rices			0	<u> </u>			···			
C. Total Acres in Site	orday filmon money gas of	410.6738.45 v. no. 45	9 - 152 1725	2	a descriptions to action	Y		COS CONTRACTOR AND			
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Infor	mation	7.744.4.7.146		3400 E127 6F		30.130					
A. Total Acres Prime and Unique Farmland				a							
B. Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland		<u> 1945</u>	<u> </u>	24 L 2 L 24							
C. Percentage of Farmland in County or Local Govt. Unit to be		11 Feb (1. (18.)	the make	0.00057							
D. Percentage of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction with Same or Higher Relative Value											
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Crite Relative Value of Farmland to be Serviced or Converted (	erion Scale of 0 - 100 F	Points)		62							
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor o Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR	r Site 658.5(b & c))	Max. P Corrido									
Area in Nonurban Use		15	15	15							
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10	10	10							
3. Percent of Site Being Farmed		20	20	20							
Protection Provided by State and Local Government		20	20	0	1						
5. Distance from Urban Built-up area		0	15	0							
6. Distance to Urban Support Services		0	15	0							
7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average		10	10	10							
8. Creation of Non-Farmable Farmland		25	10	0							
9. Availability of Farm Support Services		5	5	5							
10. On-Farm Investments		20	20	20							
11. Effects of Conversion on Farm Support Services		25	10	0							
12. Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use		10	10	0							
TOTAL CORRIDOR OR SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		10	60	80							
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)											
Relative Value of Farmland (from Part V above)		100		62							
Total Corridor or Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site		160		80							
assessment)  TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260		142	1						
	Itarnativa le cha	!		1 172	1			L			
PART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency after final a 1. Corridor or Site Selected:	illernative is crio		of Select	ion.	3. Was A Loc	al Site Asse	ssment U	lsed?			
1. Cornadi of the deleted.	2. 500 0. 5000		Yes								
4. Reason For Selection:		<u> </u>									
Signature of person completing the Federal Agency parts of this form:					DATE  11 / 6 (D)						
YWEITUTTIII						1 6	🤇				